

Intraoperative bacterial contamination in total hip and knee arthroplasty is associated with operative duration and peeling of the iodine-containing drape from skin

メタデータ	言語: eng 出版者: 公開日: 2021-07-01 キーワード (Ja): キーワード (En): 作成者: Hanada, Mitsuru, Hotta, Kensuke, Furuhashi, Hiroki, Matsuyama, Yukihiro メールアドレス: 所属:
URL	http://hdl.handle.net/10271/00003860

- 1 **Intraoperative bacterial contamination in total hip and knee arthroplasty is associated with operative**
- 2 **duration and peeling of the iodine-containing drape from skin**

3 **Abstract**

4 **Background:** Surgical site infection (SSI) and periprosthetic joint infection are the most important problems
5 after total hip arthroplasty (THA) and total knee arthroplasty (TKA). This study aimed to examine the risk
6 factors for intraoperative bacterial contamination in THA and TKA.

7 **Methods:** One hundred and seven hips underwent THA, while 74 knees underwent TKA. After the implant
8 was placed, a swab sample for bacterial culture was collected around the skin incision. At the time of
9 specimen collection, patients were separated into two groups based on whether the iodine-containing drape
10 remained adhered to the skin (group DR) or the iodine-containing drape was peeled off (group ND). Patient
11 characteristics, including age, height, body weight, body mass index, operative duration, intraoperative blood
12 loss, surgical procedures, and condition of the iodine-containing drape, were compared between patients
13 with positive and negative bacterial cultures.

14 **Results:** In THA, which had a shorter operative duration than TKA ($p < 0.001$), there was one case of
15 bacterial contamination. In TKA, there were ten cases of positive bacterial contamination, all in group ND.

16 Postoperative SSI occurred in one case.

17 The binomial logistic regression analyses confirmed that TKA (OR 16.562 [95% CI 2.071 to 132.430], $p <$
18 0.01) compared to THA and the group ND (OR 0.000 [95% CI 0.000], $p < 0.001$) compared the group DR.
19 were risk factors of bacterial contamination. In TKAs, operative duration was a risk factor of bacterial
20 contamination (OR 1.026 [95% CI 1.000 to 1.054], $p < 0.01$).

21 **Conclusions:** Intraoperative bacterial contamination increases in procedures with long operating time and

22 may be suppressed by proper use of an iodine-containing drape.

23

24 **Keywords:** surgical drape, iodine, bacterial contamination, total hip arthroplasty, total knee arthroplasty

25 **Introduction**

26 Several complications of joint arthroplasty, including malalignment, infection, component loosening, and
27 periprosthetic fracture, can be severe and result in poor outcome after surgery. One of the most frequent
28 causes of revision surgery after total hip arthroplasty (THA) and total knee arthroplasty (TKA) is
29 periprosthetic joint infection [1-7]. Despite several preventive procedures, including prophylactic
30 intravenous administration of antibiotics, preoperative skin disinfection, and intrawound lavage with a large
31 amount of saline [8], the risk of infection remains. The reported infection rates are approximately 1% to 2%
32 after THA [2-6] and 2% to 3% after TKA [2, 4, 5, 7, 8]. Surgical site infection (SSI) is typically defined as
33 infection occurring within 30 days after surgery and affecting either the incision or organs at the surgical site
34 [9]. The World Health Organization has stated that bacterial contamination of the surgical site can lead to
35 SSI [10]; as such, preoperative disinfection, draping around the skin incision, and intraoperative irrigation
36 of the operating field are important countermeasures.

37 Intrawound lavage with a diluted povidone iodine solution before wound closure may be an inexpensive and
38 effective means of reducing acute postoperative infection after THA and TKA [11]. Recently, to prevent SSI,
39 covering the surgical wound with various drapes has been widely implemented. For example, when a plastic
40 incise drape is properly applied, such that no air bubbles or pockets of fluid will form beneath or around the
41 edge of the incision, it can aid in preventing the migration of skin microbes into the surgical wound [12].
42 However, if the plastic drape is not used in this appropriate manner, it can actually increase the number of
43 bacteria [13-16]. Although iodine-containing drapes are often used, it remains controversial whether they

44 can effectively prevent SSI [17-19].

45 The purpose of this study was to examine the risk factors for intraoperative bacterial contamination in THA
46 and TKA, for example, operative duration or surgical drape. We hypothesised that operative duration and
47 condition of the iodine-containing drape (whether it remained adhered to the skin or was removed) are related
48 to bacterial contamination of the operating field.

49

50 **Materials and methods**

51 This prospective observational study was approved by the institutional review board of the authors' affiliated
52 institutions. Written informed consent was obtained from all participants. From June 2017 to December 2019,
53 consecutive patients who underwent primary THA or TKA at our hospital were included in this study.
54 Patients with previous trauma around the surgical site, those with a history of infection in the hip or knee,
55 and revision surgery cases were excluded from the study.

56

57 ***Surgical and postoperative procedures***

58 THAs were performed by three main surgeons. An anterolateral approach was used with patients in the
59 supine position. Cementless implants in a cup and a stem were used in all THAs.

60 TKAs were performed by two other main surgeons. A medial parapatellar or midvastus approach was used
61 with patients in the supine position. A computed tomography-free navigation system (Stryker Knee
62 Navigation System; Stryker Leibinger) was used in all TKAs. A posterior-stabilizer implant was used, and

63 femoral and tibial components were fixed with cement. A tourniquet was used during cementing, and
64 the antibiotic cement was not used in all TKAs. The patella was not replaced in all TKAs.
65 In both THA and TKA, the suction drain was removed, and patients were allowed to ambulate on the first
66 day after surgery.

67

68 ***Standard procedure to prevent SSI***

69 All patients underwent a standard protocol to prevent infection in both THA and TKA. Perioperative
70 intravenous administration of antibiotics (2 g cefazolin) was initiated prior to the skin incision and repeated
71 at 3 and 6 hours (1 g cefazolin) after the first administration on the day of surgery. From the first day after
72 surgery, cefazolin (1 g) was administered intravenously every 12 hours for 2 days after surgery. Preoperative
73 skin preparation was performed with 10% povidone iodine. A skin drape with the povidone iodine was
74 applied on the skin before the incision. Care was taken to ensure that the iodine-containing drape was in
75 intimate contact with the skin. Suction drains placed intraoperatively were removed within 24 hours.

76

77 ***Preparation for bacterial culture with a swab***

78 In THA and TKA, after the implant was placed and the wound was washed with saline solution, a culture
79 sample was collected with a swab at the edge of the skin incision. Culture sample was collected within 2 cm
80 of the skin incision in the area defined with a 20 mL syringe (Fig. 1). When the iodine-containing drape
81 remained adhered to the skin at the time of specimen collection, the drape was removed, and the swab sample

82 was collected from the skin under the drape (group DR). If the drape was unintentionally peeled from the
83 skin during the operation, the swab sample was collected directly from the exposed skin (group ND). Then,
84 the skin incision was closed with nylon suture.

85 Bacterial contamination was judged by the results of microscopic examination with Gram stain and
86 enrichment culture examination. SSI was defined according to the report by Petherick et al. [20]. SSI was
87 investigated within 30 days after surgeries.

88 Patient characteristics, including age, height, body weight, body mass index (BMI), operating duration,
89 intraoperative blood loss, surgical procedure (THA vs TKA), and condition of the iodine-containing drape
90 (DR vs ND), were compared between patients with positive and negative bacterial cultures.

91

92 ***Statistical analysis***

93 On the basis of results of the intermediate analysis in the rate of positive culture with swab for 50 cases, a
94 power analysis was performed. Seventy-four subjects in each group (total 148 joints) were needed to detect
95 a minimal clinically important difference in each group as a primary outcome, with >80% statistical power
96 and an alpha cut-off of 5% (0.05) as the probability of a type-I error.

97 For statistical analysis, the Student *t*, Mann-Whitney U, or chi-square test including Fisher's exact
98 probability test was used. *P* values < 0.05 were considered statistically significant. Based on the results of
99 an intermediate analysis (30 cases in each group), a power analysis for bacterial contamination was
100 performed. A total of 56 cases in each group were needed to detect a minimally clinically important

101 difference in the primary outcome (THA vs TKA or DR vs ND), with >80% statistical power and an alpha
102 cut-off value of 5% (0.05) as the probability of a type I error.

103

104 **Results**

105 One hundred and seven hips underwent THA, which included 23 men and 84 women with an average age
106 of 61.8 ± 14.2 years. Seventy-four knees underwent TKA, including 13 men and 61 women with an average
107 age of 72.5 ± 6.9 years. Demographic characteristics of the patients are shown in Table 1. Significant
108 differences in age, body weight, BMI, operative duration, intraoperative blood loss, and distribution of drape
109 condition were found between THA and TKA. In THA, there was one case of bacterial contamination
110 including *Staphylococcus coagulase-negative* and no SSI. In TKA, there were ten cases of positive bacterial
111 contamination, including *Staphylococcus coagulase-negative* (six cases), methicillin-susceptible
112 *Staphylococcus aureus* (two case), *α-Streptococcus* (one case), and gram-negative *bacilli* unknown in detail
113 (one case). Postoperative SSI due to *Staphylococcus coagulase-negative* occurred in one patient who
114 underwent TKA and had positive bacterial contamination, which was treated by lavage and debridement.

115 The binomial logistic regression analyses confirmed that TKA was a significant risk factor of bacterial
116 contamination by swab culture (OR 16.562 [95% CI 2.071 to 132.430], $p < 0.01$), and the group DR that had
117 the iodine-containing drape remained adhered to the skin introduced significantly less bacterial
118 contamination than the group ND that had the drape peeled (OR 0.000 [95% CI 0.000], $p < 0.001$). Age, sex,
119 body height, weight, BMI, operative duration, and intraoperative blood loss were not factors of bacterial

120 contamination with the binomial logistic regression analyses. However, in only TKAs, the drape condition
121 (OR 0.0 [95% CI 0.0], $p < 0.01$) and operative duration (OR 1.026 [95% CI 1.000 to 1.054], $p < 0.01$) were
122 risk factors of bacterial contamination with the binomial logistic regression analyses.

123

124 **Discussion**

125 The most important finding of this study is that bacterial contamination occurred in cases with a longer
126 operative duration and in those that had the iodine-containing drape peeled from the skin. There was no case
127 of positive bacterial contamination in THA despite greater intraoperative blood loss and higher frequency of
128 drape peeling. It is considered that bacterial contamination was not observed in THA because the operating
129 time was very short, averaging 103.4 min.

130 Gibbons et al. previously reported that operating time was related to the incidence of SSI [21]. In the
131 department of surgery, surgical duration is regarded as an independent predictor of SSI [22-24]. Similarly,
132 Willis-Owen et al. reported that prolonged operating time was associated with increased incidence of
133 infection in THA and TKA [25]. In previous studies of orthopedic surgery, the risk of periprosthetic joint
134 infection demonstrated an odds ratio of 7.4 in joint replacement surgery lasting >180 min [26], while thoracic
135 and lumbar spine surgeries with a duration >3 h were reported to be independent risk factors for SSI [27].

136 Based on our results, shorter operating time was associated with a decrease in bacterial contamination.

137 Not only shorter operating time but also use of an iodine-containing drape is considered a modifiable SSI
138 prevention factor, unlike patient comorbidities, such as diabetes mellitus or chronic kidney disease. In this

139 study, there were cases of positive bacterial contamination in group ND, but no cases in group DR. Previous
140 studies reported the effect of iodine-containing drapes on SSI prevention [17, 18, 28, 29]. Milandt N. et al.
141 reported that similar quantities of bacteria were found between the knees with or without Iodine-impregnated
142 incision drapes after simulated knee surgery [30]. However, they evaluated bacterial recolonization after 75
143 minutes. That duration was relatively short compared our study. In addition, the number of subjects was
144 small, such as 20 knees. Rezapoor M. et al. reported the iodophor-impregnated adhesive draping significantly
145 reduces bacterial colonization of the incision during hip surgeries [31]. Based on our results showing all
146 eleven cases of positive bacterial contamination, in which the iodine-containing drape was peeled off during
147 surgery, it seems that the drape might not be effective without intimate contact with the skin. Thus, the
148 iodine-containing drape must be adhered to the skin properly and be maintained. We considered that if the
149 drape is peeled off intraoperatively, measures such as re-disinfection and repasting of the drape are required.
150 This study had several limitations. First, this study involved a relatively small number of patients. However,
151 we had a sufficient number of cases to evaluate the effect of the iodine-containing drape according to the
152 power analysis. Second, only 1 of 5 patients with a positive bacterial culture later experienced SSI. Therefore,
153 it is controversial whether all cases with positive cultures need to be treated for the prevention of infection.

154

155 **Conclusion**

156 SSI can be caused by a small number of bacteria when artificial materials are used. Based on the results of
157 this study, further attention to SSI is encouraged because bacteria are still identified in swab culture even at

158 the time of wound closure. There is no doubt that surgical duration is associated with bacterial contamination
159 of the operating field. In conclusion, our results indicate that growth of bacteria in the operating field can be
160 suppressed by proper use of an iodine-containing drape, especially in procedures with a long operating time,
161 such as TKA, which could help prevent SSI.

162 **Declaration of interest**

163 All authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

164

165 **Funding**

166 This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public, commercial, or not-for-
167 profit sectors.

168

169 **References**

- 170 [1] Kapadia BH, Berg RA, Daley JA, Fritz J, Bhave A, Mont MA (2016) Periprosthetic joint infection. *Lancet*
171 23;387:386-94. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(14\)61798-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(14)61798-0)
- 172 [2] Dale H, Hallan G, Hallan G, Espehaug B, Havelin LI, Engesaeter LB (2009) Increasing risk of revision
173 due to deep infection after hip arthroplasty. *Acta Orthop* 80:639-645.
174 <https://doi.org/10.3109/17453670903506658>
- 175 [3] Kurtz SM, Lau E, Schmier J, Ong KL, Zhao K, Parvizi J (2008) Infection burden for hip and knee
176 arthroplasty in the United States. *J Arthroplasty* 23:984-991. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.arth.2007.10.017>
- 177 [4] Zmistowski B, Restrepo C, Hess J, Adibi D, Cangoz S, Parvizi J (2013) Unplanned readmission after
178 total joint arthroplasty: rates, reasons, and risk factors. *J Bone Joint Surg Am* 95:1869-1876.
179 <https://doi.org/10.2106/JBJS.L.00679>
- 180 [5] Ilchmann T, Zimmerli W, Bolliger L, Graber P, Clauss M (2016) Risk of infection in primary, elective
181 total hip arthroplasty with direct anterior approach or lateral transgluteal approach: a prospective cohort
182 study of 1104 hips. *BMC Musculoskelet Disord* 17:471. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12891-016-1332-0>
- 183 [6] Bengtson S, Knutson K. The infected knee arthroplasty (1991) A 6-year follow-up of 357 cases. *Acta*
184 *Orthop Scand* 62:301-311. <https://doi.org/10.3109/17453679108994458>
- 185 [7] Petrie RS, Hanssen AD, Osmon DR, Ilstrup D (1998) Metal-backed patellar component failure in total
186 knee arthroplasty: a possible risk for late infection. *Am J Orthop (Belle Mead NJ)* 27:172-176.
- 187 [8] Shahi A, Parvizi J (2015) Prevention of periprosthetic joint infection. *Arch Bone Jt Surg* 3:72-81.

188 [9] Hagihara M, Suwa M, Ito Y, Muramatsu Y, Kato Y, Yamagishi Y, Mikamo H (2012) Preventing surgical-
189 site infections after colorectal surgery. *J Infect Chemother* 18:83-89. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10156-011->
190 0298-y

191 [10] WHO Guidelines for Safe Surgery 2009: Safe Surgery Saves Lives.
192 https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK143243/pdf/Bookshelf_NBK143243.pdf. Accessed
193 July 18, 2019.

194 [11] Brown NM, Cipriano CA, Moric M, Sporer SM, Della Valle CJ (2012) Dilute betadine lavage before
195 closure for the prevention of acute postoperative deep periprosthetic joint infection. *J Arthroplasty* 27:27-30.
196 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.arth.2011.03.034>

197 [12] Ha'eri GB (1983) The efficacy of adhesive plastic incise drapes in preventing wound contamination.
198 *Int Surg* 68:31-32.

199 [13] Marples RR, Kligman AM (1969) Growth of bacteria under adhesive tapes. *Arch Dermatol* 99:107-110.

200 [14] Falk-Brynhildsen K, Friberg O, Söderquist B, Nilsson UG (2013) Bacterial colonization of the skin
201 following aseptic preoperative preparation and impact of the use of plastic adhesive drapes. *Biol Res Nurs*
202 15:242-248. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1099800411430381>

203 [15] Alexander JW, Aerni S, Plettner JP (1985) Development of a safe and effective one-minute preoperative
204 skin preparation. *Arch Surg* 120:1357-1361. <https://doi.org/10.1001/archsurg.1985.01390360023006>

205 [16] Chiu KY, Lau SK, Fung B, Ng KH, Chow SP (1993) Plastic adhesive drapes and wound infection after
206 hip fracture surgery. *Aust N Z J Surg* 63:798-801. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1445-2197.1993.tb00343.x>

- 207 [17] Bejko J, Tarzia V, Carrozzini M, Gallo M, Bortolussi G, Comisso M, Testolin L, Guglielmi C, De
208 Franceschi M, Bianco R, Gerosa G, Bottio T. (2015) Comparison of efficacy and cost of iodine impregnated
209 drape vs. standard drape in cardiac surgery: study in 5100 patients. *J Cardiovasc Transl Res* 8:431-437.
210 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12265-015-9653-1>
- 211 [18] Webster J, Alghamdi A (2015) Use of plastic adhesive drapes during surgery for preventing surgical site
212 infection. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* CD006353. <https://doi.org/10.1002/14651858.CD006353.pub2>
- 213 [19] Lewis DA, Leaper DJ, Speller DC (1984) Prevention of bacterial colonization of wounds at operation:
214 comparison of iodine-impregnated ('Ioban') drapes with conventional methods. *J Hosp Infect* 5:431-437.
215 [https://doi.org/10.1016/0195-6701\(84\)90013-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/0195-6701(84)90013-6)
- 216 [20] Petherick ES, Dalton JE, Moore PJ, Cullum N (2006) Methods for identifying surgical wound infection
217 after discharge from hospital: a systematic review. *BMC Infect Dis* 6:170. [https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-](https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2334-6-170)
218 [2334-6-170](https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2334-6-170)
- 219 [21] Gibbons C, Bruce J, Carpenter J, Wilson AP, Wilson J, Pearson A, Lamping DL, Krukowski ZH, Reeves
220 BC (2011) Identification of risk factors by systematic review and development of risk-adjusted models for
221 surgical site infection. *Health Technol Assess* 15:1-156, iii-iv. <https://doi.org/10.3310/hta15300>
- 222 [22] Moreno Elola-Olaso A, Davenport DL, Hundley JC, Daily MF, Gedaly R (2012) Predictors of surgical
223 site infection after liver resection: a multicentre analysis using National Surgical Quality Improvement
224 Program data. *HPB (Oxford)* 14:136-141. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1477-2574.2011.00417.x>
- 225 [23] Korol E, Johnston K, Waser N, Sifakis F, Jafri HS, Lo M, Kyaw MH (2013) A systematic review of risk

226 factors associated with surgical site infections among surgical patients. PLoS One 8:e83743.
227 <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0083743>

228 [24] Kurmann A, Vorburger SA, Candinas D, Beldi G (2011) Operation time and body mass index are
229 significant risk factors for surgical site infection in laparoscopic sigmoid resection: a multicenter study. Surg
230 Endosc 25:3531-3534. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00464-011-1753-7>

231 [25] Willis-Owen CA, Konyves A, Martin DK (2010) Factors affecting the incidence of infection in hip and
232 knee replacement: an analysis of 5277 cases. J Bone Joint Surg Br 92:1128-1133.
233 <https://doi.org/10.1302/0301-620X.92B8.24333>

234 [26] Kourbatova EV, Halvosa JS, King MD, Ray SM, White N, Blumberg HM (2005) Emergence of
235 community-associated methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus USA 300 clone as a cause of health care-
236 associated infections among patients with prosthetic joint infections. Am J Infect Control 33:385-391.
237 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajic.2005.06.006>

238 [27] Ogihara S, Yamazaki T, Maruyama T, Oka H, Miyoshi K, Azuma S, Yamada T, Murakami M, Kawamura
239 N, Hara N, Terayama S, Morii J, Kato S, Tanaka S (2015) Prospective multicenter surveillance and risk
240 factor analysis of deep surgical site infection after posterior thoracic and/or lumbar spinal surgery in adults.
241 J Orthop Sci 20:71-77. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00776-014-0669-1>

242 [28] Ritter MA, Campbell ED (1988) Retrospective evaluation of an iodophor-incorporated antimicrobial
243 plastic adhesive wound drape. Clin Orthop Relat Res (228):307-308.

244 [29] Fairclough JA, Johnson D, Mackie I (1986) The prevention of wound contamination by skin organisms

245 by the pre-operative application of an iodophor impregnated plastic adhesive drape. J Int Med Res 14:105-
246 109. <https://doi.org/10.1177/03000605860140021>

247 [30] Milandt N, Nymark T, Jørn Kolmos H, Emmeluth C, Overgaard S (2016) Iodine-impregnated incision
248 drape and bacterial recolonization in simulated total knee arthroplasty. Acta Orthop. Aug;87(4):380-5. doi:
249 10.1080/17453674.2016.1180577.

250 [31] Rezapoor M, Tan TL, Maltenfort MG, Parvizi J (2018) Incise Draping Reduces the Rate of
251 Contamination of the Surgical Site During Hip Surgery: A Prospective, Randomized Trial. J Arthroplasty.
252 Jun;33(6):1891-1895. doi: 10.1016/j.arth.2018.01.013.

253

254 **Figure caption**

255 Fig. 1. Procedure to obtain a swab sample for bacterial culture.

256 Culture sample was collected within 2 cm of the skin incision in the area defined with a 20 mL syringe.

Table 1

Demographic characteristics of the patients.

	THA	TKA	
Number of surgery (men / women)	107 (23 / 84)	74 (13 / 61)	n.s.
Age (yr)	61.8 ± 14.2	72.5 ± 6.9	<i>p</i> < 0.001 *
Body height (cm)	155.6 ± 10.4	152.8 ± 8.7	n.s.
Body weight (kg)	58.1 ± 11.7	63.7 ± 13.5	<i>p</i> < 0.001 *
Body mass index (kg/m ²)	24.0 ± 4.0	27.1 ± 4.1	<i>p</i> < 0.001 *
Operative duration (min)	102.6 ± 58.2	177.4 ± 24.4	<i>p</i> < 0.001 *
Intraoperative blood loss (g)	373.3 ± 192.3	170.2 ± 80.4	<i>p</i> < 0.001 *
Drape condition (DR / ND)	42 / 65	34 / 40	n.s. **
Swab culture (positive / negative)	1 / 106	10 / 64	<i>p</i> < 0.01 ***
Comorbidities			
Diabetes mellitus (%)	7.5	17.6	n.s.
Chronic kidney disease (%)	8.4	5.4	n.s.

Values are presented as numbers, mean or percentage, and standard deviation.

*: Student's t-test

** : chi-square for independent test

***: Fisher's exact probability test

THA, total hip arthroplasty

TKA, total knee arthroplasty

DR, the group that the drape was remained when the swab sample was collected.

ND, the group that the drape was peeled off when the swab sample was collected.

