

TSC1 intragenic deletion transmitted from a mosaic father to two siblings with cardiac rhabdomyomas: identification of two aberrant transcripts

メタデータ	言語: eng 出版者: 公開日: 2021-12-01 キーワード (Ja): キーワード (En): 作成者: Uchiyama, Hiroki, Masunaga, Yohei, Ishikawa, Takamichi, Fukuoka, Tetsuya, Fukami, Maki, Saitsu, Hiroto, Ogata, Tsutomu メールアドレス: 所属:
URL	http://hdl.handle.net/10271/00003922

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 3.0 International License.



1 ***TSCI* intragenic deletion transmitted from a mosaic father to two siblings**
2 **with cardiac rhabdomyomas: identification of two aberrant transcripts**

3
4 Hiroki Uchiyama^{a*}, Yohei Masunaga^{a*}, Takamichi Ishikawa^a, Tetsuya Fukuoka^b,
5 Maki Fukami^c, Hiroto Saito^d, Tsutomu Ogata^{a†}
6

7 ^a *Department of Pediatrics, Hamamatsu University School of Medicine, Hamamatsu, Japan*

8 ^b *Department of Pediatrics, Shizuoka Saiseikai General Hospital, Shizuoka, Japan*

9 ^c *Department of Molecular Endocrinology, National Research Institute for Child Health and*
10 *Development, Tokyo, Japan*

11 ^d *Department of Biochemistry, Hamamatsu University School of Medicine, Hamamatsu,*
12 *Japan*

13
14 *Contributed equally to this work.

15
16 †Correspondence to: Tsutomu Ogata, Department of Pediatrics, Hamamatsu University
17 School of Medicine, Hamamatsu 431-3192, Japan. E-mail: tomogata@hama-med.ac.jp

18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40

Abstract

Tuberous sclerosis complex (TSC) is a rare autosomal dominant disorder characterized by non-cancerous tumors in multiple organs including the brain, kidney, lung, heart, and skin. We encountered a Japanese family consisting of two siblings (a four-year-old boy and a one-year-old girl) with multiple cardiac rhabdomyomas conveying a high risk of TSC and apparently unaffected sibling (a two-year-old girl) and parents. Whole exome sequencing and application of Integrative Genomic Viewer revealed an identical intragenic *TSC1* deletion with the breakpoints on intron 15 and exon 19 in the affected siblings, but not in the apparently unaffected sibling and parents. Subsequently, PCR-based analyses were performed using primers flanking the deletion, showing that the deletion was also present in the father and that the deletion occurred between chr9:135,777,038 (bp) and chr9:135,780,540 (bp) in association with a one bp overlap. Furthermore, RT-PCR analyses were carried out using lymphoblastoid cell lines, revealing a major in-frame insertion/deletion transcript produced by aberrant splicing using a cryptic "ag" splice acceptor motif at intron 15 (r.1998_2438delinsTTCATTAGGTGG) and a minor frameshift transcript generated by aberrant splicing between exon 15 and exon 20 (r.1998_2502del, p.Lys666Asnfs*15) in the affected siblings. These findings imply that the intragenic deletion producing two aberrant transcripts was generated as a somatic pathogenic variant involving the germline in the father and was transmitted to the affected siblings.

Keywords: *TSC1*, tuberous sclerosis complex, cardiac rhabdomyoma, germline mosaicism, intragenic deletion, aberrant transcript

41 Introduction

42 Tuberos sclerosis complex (TSC) is a rare autosomal dominant disorder characterized by
43 non-cancerous tumors in multiple organs including the brain, kidney, lung, heart, eyes, liver,
44 and skin (Curatolo et al., 2008). Developmental delay and seizure are also observed
45 frequently. The prevalence is ~ one in 6,000 to 10,000 subjects, and ~ two-thirds of patients
46 are identified as sporadic cases born to apparently healthy parents (Curatolo et al., 2008;
47 Peron et al., 2018). TSC is caused by pathogenic variants of either *TSC1* encoding hamartin or
48 *TSC2* encoding tuberin. Hamartin and tuberin act as tumor suppressors, and the hamartin-
49 tuberin complex regulates cell growth and division by suppressing the function of the
50 mammalian target of rapamycin complex 1 (mTORC1) (Curatolo et al., 2008). Thus,
51 pathogenic variants of *TSC1* or *TSC2* result in the tumor development because of the
52 activation of the mTORC1 function. Furthermore, since a second event including loss of
53 heterozygosity is frequently found in tumor tissues (Rosset et al., 2017), it is likely that
54 biallelic pathogenic variants of *TSC1/TSC2* lead to the development of non-cancerous tumors.

55 Here, we report two Japanese siblings with cardiac tumors as the sole recognizable
56 abnormality and a *TSC1* intragenic deletion producing two aberrant transcripts which was
57 inherited from their clinically unaffected mosaic father.

58

59 Clinical report

60 We encountered a Japanese family with two siblings who received the possible diagnosis of
61 TSC because of the presence of multiple cardiac rhabdomyomas. The male proband (case II-1
62 in Figure 1A) was noticed to have cardiac tumors by fetal ultrasonography at 31 weeks of
63 gestation. He was born at 37 weeks of gestation by vaginal delivery without neonatal
64 asphyxia. At birth, his length was 47.5 cm (~ 50 percentile), and his weight 2947 g (50–75
65 percentile). Physical examination at birth showed no abnormalities including the skin,
66 although a wood lamp examination was not performed (Yates, 2006). Postnatal
67 echocardiography confirmed multiple cardiac tumors of 6.3–15.8 mm in diameter in right
68 ventricle (RV) and left ventricle (LV). Hemodynamics remained stable without ventricular
69 inflow or outflow obstruction by the tumors. Electrocardiogram (ECG) was normal. Since the

70 tumors were suspected as rhabdomyomas characteristic of TSC (Rosset et al., 2017), we
71 performed brain magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), ophthalmologic examinations, and
72 visceral ultrasound studies, detecting no other features reported in TSC (Krueger and
73 Northrup, 2013). Echocardiography was performed at a ~ two months interval, showing
74 spontaneous regression of the tumors, as has been reported for rhabdomyomas in TSC
75 (Curatolo et al., 2008). On the last examination at four years of age, while he still had small
76 cardiac tumors, he showed apparently normal growth and development with no episode of
77 seizures.

78 The third female child (case II-3 in Figure 1A) was also found to have cardiac tumors
79 by echocardiography performed shortly after birth because of the history of cardiac tumors in
80 case II-1. She was born at 32 weeks of gestation, with a birth length of 43.0 cm (50–75
81 percentile) and a birth weight of 1,820 g (50 percentile). Her cardiac tumors were 6.2–15.2
82 mm in diameter and were identified at multiple locations including the RV free wall to
83 interventricular septum, RV apex, LV free wall to interventricular septum, and the vicinity of
84 anterior papillary muscle of LV (Figure 1B). There was no ventricular inflow or outflow
85 obstruction. ECG was normal. Physical examination at birth was non-remarkable including
86 the skin, although a wood lamp examination was not performed (Yates, 2006). She was also
87 suspected to have cardiac rhabdomyomas characteristic of TSC, but brain MRI,
88 ophthalmologic examinations, and visceral ultrasound studies showed no other abnormal
89 findings described in TSC (Krueger and Northrup, 2013). The cardiac tumors gradually
90 diminished in size. On the last examination at one year of age, she remained healthy with
91 normal growth and development.

92 The two-year-old second child (case II-2 in Figure 1A) had no clinically discernible
93 TSC features including cardiac masses on echocardiograms. The non-consanguineous parents
94 had no history of cardiac, renal, brain, and skin diseases.

95 After the genetic diagnosis, we planned to perform electroencephalographic studies and
96 the TSC-Associated-Neuropsychiatric-Disorders evaluation in the affected siblings before
97 entering a junior school, as has been recommended (Krueger and Northrup, 2013). Although
98 we suggested to the father to receive detailed examinations for TSC features, he refused such

99 examinations primarily because of lack of clinical symptoms. Thus, it was unknown whether
100 the father had cryptic clinical features of TSC.

101

102 **Methods**

103 This study was approved by the Institutional Review Board Committee at Hamamatsu
104 University School of Medicine, and was performed after obtaining written informed consent.
105 We performed whole exome sequencing (WES) using leukocyte genomic DNA (gDNA)
106 samples, to examine two possibilities: (1) the siblings had a monoallelic dominant pathogenic
107 variant in *TSC1* or *TSC2* that was transmitted from either of the parents with germline
108 mosaicism or intra-familial clinical variability, and (2) they had biallelic recessive pathogenic
109 variants in a hitherto unknown gene for cardiac tumors. WES was carried out with SureSelect
110 Human All Exon V6 (Agilent Technologies), and captured libraries were sequenced by
111 NextSeq 500 (Illumina) with 150 bp paired-end reads. Reads were aligned to the reference
112 genome (Human GRCh37/hg19) (UCSC Genome Browser; <http://genome.ucsc.edu/>) using
113 BWA-MEM (Version 0.7.12) with default parameters. Data processing, variant calling,
114 annotation, and filtering were performed as previously described (Miyado et al., 2019). Final
115 variants were annotated with Annovar (Wang et al., 2010).

116 To examine the structure of transcripts and the occurrence of nonsense mediated mRNA
117 decay (NMD) (Kuzmiak and Maquat, 2006), we performed reverse-transcriptase (RT)-PCR
118 for mRNAs extracted from lymphoblastoid cell lines (LCLs) incubated for 8 hours with NMD
119 inhibitor cycloheximide (CHX) (Sigma) or with dimethyl sulfoxide as a control material.
120 Subsequently, RT-PCR products were subjected to electrophoresis and direct sequencing.

121

122 **Results**

123 WES was performed for the affected siblings (II-1 and II-3) and the parents, identifying
124 apparently *de novo* sequence variants at exon 19 of *TSC1* which were initially evaluated as
125 three successive 4–6 bp insertions, *i.e.*, c.2433_2434insTATC, c.2437_2438insTTCATT, and
126 c.2438_2439insGGTGG, in both the affected siblings (reference sequence: *TSC1* transcript
127 variant 1, Genbank NM_000368.4) (<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/>). Since such a complicated

128 finding indicated genomic alteration affecting exon 19 of *TSC1*, we performed manual
129 inspection of aligned sequences around exon 19 by Integrative Genomic Viewer (IGV)
130 (<https://www.igv.org>). Consequently, clipped and discordant read pairs which aligned to the
131 sequence of exon 19 and that of intron 15 were identified in the affected siblings, whereas
132 such aberrant reads were undetected in the parents (Figure 2A). Furthermore, genotyping for
133 rs75802666 revealed that the siblings were heterozygous for the paternally inherited "T" allele
134 and maternally derived "C" allele, and that the clipped and discordant reads were associated
135 with the "T" allele. These findings indicated the presence of an identical deletion spanning
136 from 3'-region of intron 15 to 5'-region of exon 19 on the paternally inherited *TSC1* of the
137 affected siblings. We next performed PCR amplification with primers flanking the deletion
138 and those for non-deleted wildtype allele, detecting the deletion in the father as well as in the
139 affected siblings, but not in the mother and the unaffected sibling (II-2) (Figure 2B). This
140 showed that the deletion took place as a somatic mosaicism involving germline in the father.
141 In addition, Sanger sequencing of the PCR products showed that the deletion occurred
142 between chr9:135,777,038 (bp) and chr9:135,780,540 (bp) in association with a one bp
143 overlap, and that the sequence of intron 15 contained the three 4–6 bp segments incorrectly
144 evaluated as insertions. Assuming that the overlapping one bp is g.135,777,039 (bp) at exon
145 19, this deletion is described as NC_000009.11:g.135777040_135780539del
146 (NM_000368.4:c.1997+429_2438del). The breakpoints were found to reside on non-repeat
147 sequences by Repeatmasker (<http://www.repeatmasker.org>). This intragenic deletion was
148 absent from gnomAD database (<http://gnomad.broadinstitute.org/>), Human Genetic Variation
149 database (<http://www.hgvd.genome.med.kyoto-u.ac.jp/>), and allele frequency data of 4,773
150 Japanese individuals (4.7KJPN) (<https://jmorp.megabank.tohoku.ac.jp/>). We deposited this
151 deletion in the Leiden Open Variation Database (LOVD)
152 (<http://databases.lovd.nl/shared/genes>) (ID: 0000674869).

153 We further searched for other variant(s) which satisfied the following criteria: (1)
154 segregation pattern consistent with Mendelian inheritance with complete penetrance; (2)
155 minor allele frequency of ≤ 0.01 in the above three public databases; and (3) high
156 pathogenicity predicted by Combined Annotation-Dependent Depletion

157 (<http://cadd.gs.washington.edu/snv>) and Polyphen-2_HumVar
158 (<http://genetics.bwh.harvard.edu/pph2/>). However, there was no such a variant in this family.

159 We next performed RT-PCR with primers for exon 15 and exon 20, revealing two
160 aberrant mRNAs (transcript-1 and transcript-2) and wildtype mRNA in the affected siblings,
161 and wildtype mRNA alone in the parents and the unaffected sibling (Figure 3A). The bands
162 for transcript-1 were clearly identified with and without CHX treatment, whereas those for
163 transcript-2 were quite faint without CHX treatment and weakly detected with CHX
164 treatment. This indicated that transcript-1 escaped NMD, whereas transcript-2 grossly
165 underwent NMD. Sequencing analysis showed that transcript-1 was produced by aberrant
166 splicing using a cryptic "ag" splice acceptor motif at intron 15
167 (r.1998_2438delinsTTCATTAGGTGG) (Figure 3B). Indeed, the cryptic splice acceptor motif
168 was found to be accompanied by a pyrimidine (Y)-rich sequence and a candidate branch site
169 required for splicing (Strachan and Read, 2011) by SVM-BP finder
170 (http://regulatorygenomics.upf.edu/Software/SVM_BP). Since transcript-1 was associated
171 with in-frame insertion and deletion, this was consistent with transcript-1 escaping NMD.
172 Sequencing analysis also revealed that transcript-2 was generated by aberrant splicing
173 between exon 15 and exon 20 (r.1998_2502del, p.Lys666Asnfs*15) (Figure 3C). Since
174 transcript-2 harbored a premature stop codon on exon 20 because of the frameshift, this was
175 compatible with transcript-2 being subject to NMD.

176

177 Discussion

178 Molecular studies identified a heterozygous intragenic deletion of *TSC1* in two Japanese
179 siblings with cardiac rhabdomyomas. Unpredictably, RT-PCR analyses revealed a major
180 transcript-1 produced with a cryptic splice acceptor motif at intron 15, as well as a minor
181 transcript-2 generated with a wildtype splice acceptor motif at intron 19. Both transcript-1 and
182 transcript-2 were missing 5'-region of the coiled coil domain and tuberlin interaction domain
183 (Peron et al., 2018), and transcript-2 was primarily subject to NMD. According to the ACMG
184 Standards and Guidelines (Richards et al., 2015), the intragenic deletion
185 (NC_000009.11:g.135777040_135780539del) is regarded as "pathogenic", because they are

186 positive for PVS1 (multiexon deletion), PS3 (confirmation by RNA analysis), PM2 (absence
187 from controls), and PP4 (patient's phenotype specific for a disease with a single genetic
188 etiology). In addition, since this deletion occurred between non-homologous regions and was
189 mediated by one bp microhomology, it is likely that the deletion was generated by replication-
190 based mechanisms such as fork stalling and template switching and microhomology-mediated
191 break-induced replication (Nilsson et al., 2017). To our knowledge, this intragenic deletion
192 has not been reported, although a number of variants including a similar intragenic deletion
193 involving *TSC1* exons 15–19 has been registered in the LOVD database.

194 Genetic studies were useful to confirm the diagnosis of TSC. In this regard, targeted
195 *TSC1/TSC2* sequencing by next generation sequencer (NGS) (or classic Sanger method),
196 followed by deletion/duplication analysis for the two genes by several methods (*e.g.*,
197 quantitative PCR, multiplex ligation-dependent probe amplification, and array comparative
198 hybridization) in the absence of a sequence variant, has been regarded as the gold standard
199 genetic test for TSC, and a multigene NGS panel and WES are considered when clinical
200 features are mild or obscure (Peron et al., 2018). This current genetic approach is rational and
201 useful. Indeed, the intragenic deletion identified in this study would be detected by
202 deletion/duplication analysis. However, WES can identify sequence variants not only in
203 previously known genes but also in hitherto unknown genes. Furthermore, because of the
204 development of applications, WES can reveal sequence disruption by employing IGV (as
205 shown here), various sizes of deletions/duplications by utilizing WES-based copy-number
206 variant calling (Nord et al., 2011) and eXome Hidden Markov Model (Fromer and Purcell,
207 2014), and full or segmental disomies by estimating B-allele frequencies for single nucleotide
208 polymorphisms (Yaury et al., 2020). Considering such increasing utility and improved cost-
209 performance, we performed WES from the outset in this study.

210 The affected siblings had cardiac rhabdomyomas as the sole recognizable abnormality.
211 This may be due to the young ages of the siblings (four years and one year), because *TSC1*
212 pathogenic variants are associated with multiple features in an age-dependent manner. For
213 example, TSC is associated with cardiac rhabdomyomas in 30–50% of patients from the late
214 fetal period to early infancy, intellectual disability in 30–50% of patients from infancy,

215 epilepsy in 60–70% of patients from infancy, retinal hamartomas in ~50% of patients from
216 infancy, hypomelanotic macules in ~90% of patients from infancy to childhood, facial
217 angiofibromas in ~75% of patients from childhood, and renal angiomyolipomas in ~80% of
218 patients from childhood to puberty (Northrup and Krueger, 2013; Curatolo et al., 2008; Rosset
219 et al., 2017; Wataya-Kaneda et al., 2013). Thus, the siblings may exhibit additional major
220 and/or minor clinical features of TSC in later ages. Importantly, since cardiac rhabdomyoma
221 is regarded as an indication for possible TSC (Northrup and Krueger, 2013), it is
222 recommended to perform genetic diagnosis and clinical workup in patients with cardiac
223 rhabdomyoma (Krueger and Northrup, 2013).

224 The father was found to have somatic mosaicism involving germline, although we could
225 not examine the frequency of *TSC1* mosaicism in his sperms. In this regard, two matters are
226 notable. First, germline mosaicism may be not so rare in TSC. Indeed, several families
227 harboring \geq two affected children born to apparently unaffected parents have been reported
228 (Rose et al., 1999). Furthermore, because of the possibility of latent mosaicism, the recurrent
229 risk has been estimated to be up to 2% in families with apparently sporadic TSC (Peron et al.,
230 2018). Second, even individuals with low-level (<10%) mosaicism almost invariably have \geq
231 two TSC clinical features especially facial angiofibromas and renal angiomyolipomas,
232 although the phenotypic severity remain milder than that of non-mosaic patients (Giannikou
233 et al., 2019). Thus, it is possible that some clinical feature remained undetected in the father
234 and/or that he had some clinical feature such as cardiac rhabdomyomas at a younger age.

235 In summary, we identified *TSC1* intragenic deletion producing two aberrant transcripts
236 which was transmitted from mosaic father to two siblings with cardiac rhabdomyomas. The
237 results argue for the value of molecular studies in the identification of underlying genetic
238 cause.
239

240 **Declaration of competing interest**

241 The authors declare no conflict of interest.

242

243 **Acknowledgments**

244 We thank Ms. Fumiko Kato, Ms. Aya Kitamoto, Mr. Naoki Adachi, and Mr. Ryo Horiguchi
245 for their technical support.

246

247 **Funding sources**

248 This work was supported by Grants from the Japan Agency for Medical Research and
249 Development (AMED) (JP19ek0109301 and JP19ek0109297).

250

- 251 **References**
- 252 Curatolo, P., Bombardieri, R., Jozwiak, S., 2008. Tuberous sclerosis. *Lancet*. 372, 657-668.
- 253 [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(08\)61279-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(08)61279-9).
- 254 Fromer, M., Purcell, S.M., 2014. Using XHMM software to detect copy number variation in
- 255 whole-exome sequencing data. *Curr. Protoc. Hum. Genet.* 81, 7.23.1-21.
- 256 <http://doi.org/10.1002/0471142905.hg0723s81>.
- 257 Giannikou, K., Lasseter, K.D., Grevelink, J.M., Tyburczy, M.E., Dies, K.A., Zhu, Z., Hamieh,
- 258 L., Wollison, B.M., Thorner, A.R., Ruoss, S.J., Thiele, E.A., Sahin, M., Kwiatkowski,
- 259 D.J., 2019. Low-level mosaicism in tuberous sclerosis complex: prevalence, clinical
- 260 features, and risk of disease transmission. *Genet. Med.* 21, 2639-2643.
- 261 <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41436-019-0562-6>.
- 262 Krueger, D.A., Northrup, H., 2013. Tuberous sclerosis complex surveillance and
- 263 management: Recommendations of the 2012 international tuberous sclerosis complex
- 264 consensus conference. *Pediatr. Neurol.* 49, 255-265.
- 265 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pediatrneurol.2013.08.002>.
- 266 Kuzmiak, H.A., Maquat, L.E., 2006. Applying nonsense-mediated mRNA decay research to
- 267 the clinic: progress and challenges. *Trends. Mol. Med.* 12, 306–316.
- 268 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molmed.2006.05.005>.
- 269 Miyado ,M., Fukami, M., Takada, S., Terao, M., Nakabayashi, K., Hata, K., Matsubara, Y.,
- 270 Tanaka, Y., Sasaki, G., Nagasaki, K., Shiina, M., Ogata, K., Masunaga, Y., Saito, H.,
- 271 Ogata, T., 2019. Germline-derived gain-of-function variants of Gs α -coding *GNAS* gene
- 272 identified in nephrogenic syndrome of inappropriate antidiuresis. *J. Am. Soc. Nephrol.*
- 273 30, 877–889. <https://dx.doi.org/10.1681%2FASN.2018121268>.
- 274 Nilsson, D., Pettersson, M., Gustavsson, P., Förster, A., Hofmeister, W., Wincent, J.,
- 275 Zachariadis, V., Anderlid, B.M., Nordgen, A., Mäkitie, O., Wirta, V., Käller, M., Vezzi,
- 276 F., Lupski, J.R., Nordenskjöld, M., Lundberg, E.S., Carvalho, C.M.B., Lindstrand, A.,
- 277 2017. Whole-genome sequencing of cytogenetically balanced chromosome translocations
- 278 identifies potentially pathological gene disruptions and highlights the importance of

- 279 microhomology in the mechanism of formation. *Hum. Mutat.* 38, 180–192.
280 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molmed.2006.05.005>.
- 281 Nord, A.S., Lee, M., King, M.C., Walsh, T., 2011. Accurate and exact CNV identification
282 from targeted high-throughput sequence data. *BMC. Genomics.* 12:184.
283 <https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2164-12-184>.
- 284 Northrup, H., Krueger, D.A., 2013. Tuberous sclerosis complex diagnostic criteria update:
285 Recommendations of the 2012 international tuberous sclerosis complex consensus
286 conference. *Pediatr. Neurol.* 49, 243-254.
287 <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.pediatrneurol.2013.08.001>.
- 288 Peron, A., Au, K.S., Northrup, H., 2018. Genetics, genomics, and genotype-phenotype
289 correlations of TSC: Insights for clinical practice. *Am. J. Med. Genet.* 178, 281-290.
290 <https://doi.org/10.1002/ajmg.c.31651>.
- 291 Richards, S., Aziz, N., Bale, S., Bick, D., Das, S., Gastier-Foster, J., Grody, W.W., Hegde,
292 M., Lyon, E., Spector, E., Voelkerding, K., Rehm, H.L., ACMG Laboratory Quality
293 Assurance Committee, 2015. Standards and guidelines for the interpretation of
294 sequence variants: a joint consensus recommendation of the American College of
295 Medical Genetics and Genomics and the Association for Molecular Pathology. *Genet.*
296 *Med.* 17, 405-424. <http://doi.org/10.1038/gim.2015.30>.
- 297 Rose, V.M., Au, K.S., Pollom, G., Roach, E.S., Prashner, H.R., Northrup, H., 1999. Germ-
298 line mosaicism in tuberous sclerosis: How common? *Am. J. Hum. Genet.* 64, 986-992.
299 <https://doi.org/10.1086/302322>.
- 300 Rosset, C., Netto, C.B.O., Ashton-Prolla, P., 2017. TSC1 and TSC2 gene mutations and their
301 implications for treatment in tuberous sclerosis complex: a review. *Genet. Mol. Biol.*
302 40, 69-79. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/1678-4685-GMB-2015-0321>.
- 303 Strachan, T., Read, A.P., 2011. Nucleic acid structure and gene expression, In: Strachan, T.,
304 Read, A.P. (Eds.), *Human molecular genetics*, fourth ed., New York: Garland Science,
305 pp. 1-27.

- 306 Wang, K., Li, M., Hakonarson, H., 2010. ANNOVAR: functional annotation of genetic
307 variants from high-throughput sequencing data. *Nucleic. Acids. Res.* 38, e164.
308 <https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkq603>.
- 309 Wataya-Kaneda, M., Tanaka, M., Hamasaki, T., Katayama, I., 2013. Trends in the prevalence
310 of tuberous sclerosis complex manifestations: An epidemiological study of 166 Japanese
311 patients. *PLoS. One.* 8, e63910. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0063910>.
- 312 Yates, J.R.W., 2006. Tuberous sclerosis. *Eur. J. Hum. Genet.* 14, 1065-1073.
313 <https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.ejhg.5201625>.
- 314 Yauy, K., Leeuw, N., Yntema, H.G., Pfundt, R., Gilissen, C., 2020. Accurate detection of
315 clinically relevant uniparental disomy from exome sequencing data. *Genet. Med.* 22, 803-
316 808. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41436-019-0704-x>.
- 317

Figure Legends

318

319 **Figure 1.** Clinical findings.320 **A.** The pedigree of this family.

321 **B.** Echocardiographic images of case II-3 (left, parasternal short axis view; and right, apical
322 4-chamber view). RV, right ventricle; LV, left ventricle; APM, anterior papillary muscle;
323 PPM, posterior papillary muscle; RA, right atrium; and LA, left atrium.

324

325 **Figure 2.** Summary of molecular studies using gDNA.326 **A.** IVG screen-shot of WES pair-end reads.

327 **B.** PCR-based analyses of the deletions. *TSC1* consists of 23 exons and encodes 1,164 amino
328 acids. P1–P3 indicate the genomic positions of the utilized primers (P1, 5'-
329 TGTGTAGCCTCAGGGCTCTT-3'; P2, 5'-GGCCATTTTATGCCTCTGTT-3'; and P3,
330 5'-GATACCAGCAGCACCAAAAAC-3').

331

332 **Figure 3.** Summary of molecular studies using mRNA.333 **A.** RT-PCR products obtained with P4 and P5 primers (P4, 5'-

334 CCAAAGCAAGCCTTTACTCC-3'; and P5, 5'-TGAGTGCTTGTCTGCAGTTG-3').

335 **B.** Genomic sequence around the fusion point between retained intron 15 and exon 19 and
336 partial electrochromatogram of transcript-1, indicating aberrant splicing using an
337 alternative "ag" splice acceptor motif accompanied by a pyrimidine (Y)-rich sequence and
338 a putative branch site at intron 15; the "a" nucleotide highlighted with red at the putative
339 branch site is highly conserved among branch sites. On the electrochromatogram, exonic
340 and transcribed intronic nucleotides are written with large and small letters, respectively;
341 the "A" nucleotide highlighted with yellow is provisionally assigned to exon 19, although
342 it is shared by intron 15 and exon 19 (see Figure 2B).

343 **C.** Partial electrochromatogram of transcript-2, showing aberrant splicing between exon 15
344 and exon 20.





